

Pakistan Information Commission

Government of Pakistan

Order

Appeal No 5235-12/25

Azhar Hussain

Vs

Ministry of Finance

March 04, 2026

None appeared on behalf of the public body. However, the Commission has examined the contents of the information request and the memorandum of appeal and found that the applicant has filed two appeals, having Appeal No. 5235-12/25 and 5196-12/25, seeking the same information from the public body.

2. In view of the above, this appeal is not maintainable and is dismissed. A warning notice be issued to the applicant informing him to remain careful and not file multiple appeals seeking the same information. No further proceedings are required. Copy of the order be sent to both the parties.

Ijaz Hassan Awan

Information Commissioner

Shoaib Ahmad Siddiqui

Chief Information Commissioner

Pakistan Information Commission

Government of Pakistan

Order

Appeal No 5110-10/2025

Kanwar Aziz

Vs

National Highway and Motorway Police

March 04, 2026

Applicant present in person. Muhammad Idress, Law Officer, National Highway and Motorway Police appeared on behalf of the public body.

1. This appeal has been processed and heard by the Commission. The basis of the appeal is the information request filed by the applicant, which is addressed to the IG, NHMP, dated 13-10-2025, containing 7 points. A response was obtained from the public body, which was shared with the applicant, who raised objections stating that it did not match his requirements of the information sought. Observing the contest between the applicant and the public body and a series of responses and counter-responses, the Commission today found it appropriate to examine each point in the presence of the parties and heard them as well.

2. The Commission has examined the information request, the response of the public body, the rejoinder of the applicant, and subsequent correspondence from the public body and the applicant. The position that emerged is as under:-

i. An attested copy of the application submitted by the widow of late Abdur Rahman, addressed to SSP Khair Abad, has been provided to the applicant bearing the e-office tag of the NHMP official. The NHMP official stated clearly that there is no anonymous application.

ii. The relevant document has been provided to the applicant.

iii. The TCS receipt is attached as Annex-B of the response of the public body dated 27-01-2026; however, the representative of the public body has categorically stated that the mail register showing the receipt is not traceable as the record is 13 years old. This is not a justified plea, as the Right of Access to Information Act, 2017 requires the public body to maintain the record properly.

iv. The relevant documents have already been provided to the applicant, and the position has been very clearly mentioned in the response of the public body.

v. Attested copies of the required documents have been received by the applicant.

vi. The applicant insisted on the provision of all available record relating to the alleged embezzlement in the driving school along with audit paras of 2012-13 and 2013-14. The representative of the public body has furnished copies of the inquiry report conducted by Muhammad Tahir, PSP, dated 26-10-2020, and the inquiry report dated 20-08-2013 by Sultan Ali Khan, DSP. He has categorically stated that no audit para or any record relating to the alleged embezzlement is available with the public body.

vii. In response to Query No. 7 regarding discussion and suit, the public body has provided the SRO dated 29-09-2006 under Section 90(2)(g) of the National Highway Safety Ordinance, 2000. The query of the applicant was regarding the rules and regulations applicable to the Motorway Driving School, NH&MP, during 2012. The representative of the public body states that there were no rules and regulations applicable to the Motorway Driving School in 2012 in this regard. He further states that all procedures in this regard were governed under Section 90(2)(g) of the National Highway Safety Ordinance, 2000.

3. In view of this position, the applicant feels that injustice has been done to him, for which he may approach the relevant forums if he so desires.

4. The appeal stands disposed of. Copy of the order be sent to both the parties.

Ijaz Hassan Awan
Information Commissioner

Shoaib Ahmad Siddiqui
Chief Information Commissioner

Pakistan Information Commission

Government of Pakistan

Order

Appeal No 5088-11/2025

Wali Khan

Vs

Federal Board of Revenue (FBR)

March 04, 2026

Syed Shabih Haider, Deputy Director and Muhammad Arif, Second Secretary, FBR appeared on behalf of the public body.

1. Information furnished by the public body was shared with the applicant vide letter dated 13-02-2026 under RGL No. 170311594. A period of more than 20 days as required under the Access to Information Regulations 2023 have passed. No objection has been received from the applicant. It appears that the applicant is satisfied with the response of the public body. No further proceedings are required. The appeal stands disposed of. Copy of the order be sent to both the parties.

Ijaz Hassan Awan

Information Commissioner

Shoaib Ahmad Siddiqui

Chief Information Commissione

